

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/748,030	ROTHMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tuan A. Vu	2193	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan A. Vu. (3)\_\_\_\_\_

(2) Andrew Cameron. (4)\_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 5/18/07;5/22/07.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 13 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

**MENG-AL T. AN**  
**INTERVIEWS PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**

*Tuan A. Vu*

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The intended purpose during the interviews was to provide sufficient relationship between the optimized libraries being downloaded and the application that is trying to utilize the library-pertinent routines for interacting the hardware entity of the system as claimed. The suggestions made by Examiner also remedied to some potential statutory type of deficiencies; and the Applicant's representative agreed to effectuate the changes above in order to, as agreed during the exchanges, put the claims in condition for allowance..